

## The Gateway Academy Pupil Premium Strategy Statement

This statement details our school's use of pupil premium (and recovery premium for the 2022 to 2023 academic year) funding to help improve the attainment of our disadvantaged pupils.

It outlines our pupil premium strategy, how we intend to spend the funding in this academic year and the effect that last year's spending of pupil premium had within our school.

#### **School overview**

| Detail  | Data                                    |
|---|---|
| School name   | The Gateway Academy                     |
| Number of pupils in school  | 1074                                    |
| Proportion (%) of pupil premium eligible pupils   | (481) 45%                               |
| Academic year/years that our current pupil premium strategy plan covers <b>(3 year plans are recommended)</b> | 2022/23 to 2025/26                      |
| Date this statement was published   | December 2022                           |
| Date on which it will be reviewed   | August 2023                             |
| Statement authorised by   | Mrs Grainne Mc Laughlin                 |
| Pupil premium lead  | Mrs Kate Kitsiou<br>Assistant Principal |
| Governor / Trustee lead   | Hilary Hodgson, Chair of<br>the Board   |

#### **Funding overview**

| Detail   | Amount      |
|--|-------------|
| Pupil premium funding allocation this academic year  | £404,835    |
| Recovery premium funding allocation this academic year   | is £118,680 |
| Pupil premium funding carried forward from previous years (enter £0 if not applicable)   | Nil         |
| Total budget for this academic year  | £523,515    |
| If your school is an academy in a trust that pools this funding, state<br>the amount available to your school this academic year |             |

### Part A: Pupil premium strategy plan



#### Statement of intent

Our intention is that all students, irrespective of their background or challenges they face, develop as confident, aspirational, resilient, high-achieving, healthy, caring and fulfilled members of a diverse, truly fair, and equal community. It is particularly important to us that disadvantaged students receive a quality educational experience, make good progress and achieve high attainment across all areas of the curriculum, particularly Maths and English.

The focus of our Pupil Premium Strategy is to support our disadvantaged students to be fully active in Academy life and to ensure that they make accelerated academic progress. At Gateway Academy this looks like all pupils having a positive experience of school which prepares them well for their next step post-16. This will be in evidence when our disadvantaged students experience the curriculum in the same way as the rest of the cohort and therefore achieve parity in outcomes and destinations. This quality educational experience will be evident when pupil premium students show a parity in their aspirations, engagement and enjoyment of school. We will also focus on other vulnerable groups including students on the child protection register and students with a mental health need.

Our strategy is broken down in to three strands that are rooted in research from the Education Endowment Foundation

- **Strand 1** Quality first teaching and learning. It is clear that high quality teaching is at the very heart of closing the attainment gap.
- Strand 2 Education recovery, supporting students whose education has been affected due ti a range of factors.
- **Strand 3** The whole child, understanding Maslow's 'five tier hierarchy of needs' and the need to meet lower level needs (food, warmth, security etc) and emotional needs (friendship, belonging) before focusing on the next set of needs, esteem, accomplishment and self-actualisation.

We want to overcome the barriers disadvantaged pupils' experience. We want to consider the barriers relevant to students at Gateway Academy and build the strategy plan around these. Pupil premium funding will be used to support activities across the school that address these specific barriers. There will be an academic focus on literacy and numeracy in order to support wider access to the curriculum. English and Maths at Key Stage 4 will be a focus because of the importance of these subjects to next steps for students and their life choices. The funding will support continued professional development relating to high quality teaching, in this instance aspects of teaching and learning such as assessment and feedback that are known to have an impact and are a part of the school development plan.

Well-being and attendance will continue to be a focus of the strategy plan. The funding will support systems and services we have in place across the school to support well-being and attendance whilst also funding support targeted at individual students.

Finally, a lack of cultural capital can hinder pupils in their futures due to lacking relevant 'real life' experiences on which to draw, this can be limiting both in education and at interview for higher education and employment. The funding will be used to make sure Pupil Premium students have access to the wide range of enrichment activities the school has to offer.

By using robust data, not assumptions, about disadvantaged, we are responding to the individual needs of our disadvantaged students. Our strategy strands complement each other and are most effective by staff working relentlessly, at pace, together and responding quickly and effectively.



GLC Gateway Academy All Different: All Equal: Together, Improving Upon Our Best

In summary the strategy plan is based on the specific issues facing pupil premium students at our school. The strategy plan is underpinned by the driving principle of the school to 'improve upon our best.'



# Challenges

This details the key challenges to achievement that we have identified among our disadvantaged pupils.

| Challenge<br>number | Detail of challenge  |
|---------------------|--|
| 1                   | Attainment and Progress Gap – Maths  |
|                     | • Maths attainment and progress of our disadvantaged students is lower than peers.   |
|                     | • KS4 outcomes show that PP pupils perform below that of the rest of the cohort in Maths.<br>Year 11 leavers 2022 show that 61.1% of Non-PP students had a positive progress score for<br>Maths, however 50.6% of PP students had a positive progress score, a gap of 10.5%.   |
|                     | • When focusing on females the disadvantaged progress gap increases to 18.4%.  |
|                     | • When focusing on double disadvantaged with SEN, the progress gap is 18.8%  |
|                     | • KS4 outcomes also show that the attainment of PP students is below that of the rest of the cohort. 77% of Yr. 11 leavers achieved 9-4 in Maths compared to 57.1% of PP students – a gap of 19.9%   |
| 2                   | Attainment Gap – English   |
|                     | • The English attainment and progress of our disadvantaged students is lower than their peers.   |
|                     | • KS4 outcomes show that PP pupils perform below that of the rest of the cohort in English.<br>Year 11 leavers 2022 shows that 38.9% of Non-PP students had a positive progress score for<br>English, however 26.4% of PP students had a positive progress score, a gap if 12.5%.  |
|                     | • When focusing on males, the disadvantaged progress gap increases to 20.8%.   |
|                     | • When focusing on double disadvantaged with SEN, the progress gap increases to 32.6%  |
|                     | • KS4 outcomes also show that the attainment of PP students is below that of the rest of the cohort. 66.4% of Yr. 11 leavers achieved 9-4 in English compared to 47.3% of PP students – a gap of 19.1%   |
| 3                   | KS3 Targeted Reading Programme   |
|                     | • Our reading assessments indicate that disadvantaged students have lower levels of reading comprehension than their peers.  |
|                     | • There is a gap between PP and the rest of the cohort on entry from KS2 results. For our current Year 11 the average scaled score for reading was 101.68 for all students. For PP students this falls to 99.77. This has a significant impact on the ability of PP students to access the curriculum.   |
| 4                   | Literacy – Vocabulary Acquisition / Oracy Development  |
|                     | • Students' speaking and listening skills have been identified across the school as an area that needs to be focused on. The habits and behaviours associated with effective speaking and listening require a more consistent approach from teaching staff to ensure all students, particularly disadvantaged, are developing the social skills that are intrinsic to their post-16 success. |
|                     | • Vocabulary acquisition is also another area we have identified that needs improvement in order for students to be able to access the curriculum fully.   |
| 5                   | Pedagogy – 'Pitch it Up' – Challenge and Precision   |
|                     | • Our observations, student feedback and analysis of data indicate that many a number of lower attaining disadvantaged students lack metacognitive/self- regulation strategies when faced with challenging tasks, notably when asked to apply factual knowledge to a related   |

|    | topic question or solve a problem. This is a barrier to our disadvantaged students in particular reaching higher grades   |
|----|---|
| 6  | Pedagogy – Assessment and Feedback  |
|    | • Our observations alongside detailed research and disadvantaged student surveys have highlighted the importance of quality feedback to improve the understanding of how to improve their work.   |
|    | • Our observations, student feedback and analysis of summative assessment indicate that disadvantaged students do not spend sufficient time working on revision activities or home learning. This reduces their ability to perform effectively during summative assessment.   |
| 7  | Cultural Capital  |
|    | • We want to remove barriers to social mobility, these are more evident amongst the PP cohort. Increasing experiences and opportunities for students will provide pupils with the opportunity to develop the knowledge, language and intellectual skills expected to be educated citizens.  |
| 8  | Social, emotional and mental health needs   |
|    | • Our surveys, observations and discussions with students and families have identified social and emotional issues for many students, such as anxiety, depression and low self-esteem. These challenges particularly affect disadvantaged students, including their attainment.   |
| 9  | Attendance  |
|    | • Average attendance rate of disadvantaged students at the Gateway Academy is well above national averages. However, there is a gap in attendance between PP and the rest of the cohort. Current attendance data shows PP attendance to be on average 2% lower than the rest of the cohort across Years 7-11. The correlation between attendance and attainment has been well demonstrated. |
| 10 | Parental Engagement   |
|    | • There are some parents of disadvantaged students who are not fully engaged with the Academy or do not fully know the most effective methods to support their children in their learning. Attendance at parents' evenings is lower for disadvantaged students in comparison to their peers.  |
| 11 | Embed a culture that priorities the needs of Pupil Premium students within high quality teaching  |
|    | • Maintaining a culture in which the needs of Pupil Premium students are well understood and prioritised. Achieving this through a framework of high quality CPD that develops staff across all stages of their career including a focus for leaders on the needs of Pupil Premium students.  |



### Intended outcomes

This explains the outcomes we are aiming for **by the end of our current strategy plan**, and how we will measure whether they have been achieved.

| Intended outcome   | Success criteria   |
|--|--|
| <b>1.</b> Key Stage 4 Progress Gap- Maths<br>Improving the progress of<br>disadvantaged students in Maths with<br>a focus on GCSE outcomes.  | By the end of our current plan in 2025/26 KS4 outcomes<br>demonstrate that disadvantaged students achieve in line with<br>peers  |
| <b>2. Key Stage 4 Progress- English</b><br>Improving the progress of<br>disadvantaged students in English<br>with a focus on GCSE outcomes.  | By the end of our current plan in 2025/26 KS4 outcomes<br>demonstrate that disadvantaged students achieve in line with<br>peers  |
| 3. KS3 Targeted Reading<br>Programme   | KS3 Outcomes: The progress gap between PP eligible pupils and non-PP pupils narrows.   |
| Improve the reading age of Pupil<br>Premium students to have a positive<br>impact on the ability of PP students to<br>access the curriculum. | Gap in reading age of Pupil Premium students and rest of the cohort closes.  |
|  | Reading comprehension tests demonstrate improved<br>comprehension skills among disadvantaged students and a<br>smaller disparity between the scores of disadvantaged students<br>and their peers. Teachers should also have recognised this<br>improvement through engagement in lessons and book scrutiny.  |
| 4. Literacy – Vocabulary Acquisition<br>/ Oracy Development  | Embedding of SHAPE and SLANT speaking and listening<br>aptitudes as a focus of teacher's in-class practice will make this a<br>focus for all staff. Lesson observations on learning walks,<br>progress days, external reviews will demonstrate improvement<br>to students speaking and listening habits and behaviours. This is<br>not, by any means, a challenge that is confined to disadvantaged<br>students but there will be a reduction in the disparity between<br>those disadvantaged students who do need to develop these<br>skills and those who are more confident and<br>articulate speakers and effective listeners. |
| 5. Pedagogy – 'Pitch it Up' –  | Teacher reports and class observations suggest disadvantaged   |
| <ul><li>Challenge and Precision</li><li>Improved metacognitive and</li></ul>   | students are more able to monitor and regulate their own learning.   |
| academic independence among<br>disadvantaged students across all<br>subjects in order to challenge with<br>an insistence on precision.       | Teaching is judged to be at least good across the Academy on a consistent basis with strategies specifically in place to support disadvantaged students judged to be at least good.  |
| 6. Pedagogy- Assessment and<br>Feedback  | Reviews demonstrate consistency in robust assessment linked to the subject curriculum.   |



| Consistent implementation of assessment across the curriculum.  | Progress reviews, learning walks and faculty monitoring show<br>consistency in the quality of feedback to disadvantaged students.   |
|---|---|
| The quality of student feedback both<br>verbally and written is improved<br>leading to improved GCSE outcomes.  | Reviews judges the progress disadvantaged students are making<br>is at least good.  |
| <b>7. Cultural Capital</b><br>PP students participate in the wide<br>variety of enrichment opportunities<br>that the school has to offer to develop<br>cultural capital, improving their<br>opportunities for social mobility.                                  | Tracking pupil premium attendance and involvement in extra-<br>curricular activities, parity between disadvantaged and other<br>students in involvement.<br>Student voice and case studies as a measure of access and<br>involvement in cultural capital activities<br>CEAIG support and final destinations as a measure of<br>aspirations. Final destination information used to analyse<br>changes in ongoing destinations, NEETs figures etc. Using the<br>Gatsby benchmark a higher proportion of disadvantaged<br>students assessing level 5+ qualifications.  |
| <ol> <li>Social, emotional and mental<br/>health needs</li> <li>Social, emotional and mental health<br/>needs of disadvantaged students are<br/>supported and met. Disadvantaged<br/>students self-regulate and are engaged<br/>and happy at school.</li> </ol> | Student voice from disadvantaged students showing they are<br>engaged and happy members of the school community.<br>Case study evidence from students who have received targeted<br>services support.   |
| <b>9. Attendance</b><br>Attendance of PP pupils to be in line<br>with non-PP pupils   | <ul> <li>Effective intervention to support strong attendance of disadvantaged students in place.</li> <li>Disadvantaged students given priority with school systems for tracking and acting upon attendance issues. Aiming for 97% or better.</li> <li>Sustained high attendance from 2024/2025 demonstrated by:</li> <li>1. The overall absence rate for all students being no more than 5% and the attendance gap between disadvantaged students and their non-disadvantaged peers being reduced to under 1%.</li> <li>2. The percentage of all students who are persistently absent being below 20% and the figure among disadvantaged students being no more than 4% lower than their peers.</li> </ul> |
| <b>10. Parental Engagement</b><br>Parents of disadvantaged students feel<br>well supported by the school and can<br>take a positive role in their child's<br>education.   | Parental feedback from surveys is positive.<br>Attendance of disadvantaged students at parental events is equal<br>to or greater than the rest of the cohort.   |
| 11. Embed a culture that priorities the<br>needs of Pupil Premium students<br>within high quality teaching  | A high quality CPD framework in place for all stages of career,<br>ITT, Early Career Teachers to Leadership.<br>Pupil Premium running as a thread through all professional<br>development.<br>Qualitative feedback from staff on the impact of CPD.   |



# Activity in this academic year

This details how we intend to spend our pupil premium (and recovery premium funding) **this academic year** to address the challenges listed above.

### Strand 1: Teaching

Budgeted cost: £262 015

| Activity   | Evidence that supports this approach   | Challenge<br>number(s)<br>addressed |
|--|--|-------------------------------------|
| High quality professional<br>development structure.<br>Developing skilled staff from<br>ECT through to Middle<br>leaders to SLT.<br>Understanding the needs of<br>disadvantaged students as an<br>ongoing theme throughout<br>this training structure. | A clear and highly organised plan for assessment periods,<br>motivates and supports disadvantaged students to partici-<br>pate more and understand effective study techniques. This<br>will have a positive impact on performance.<br><u>EEF effective professional development- Guidance report.</u><br><u>https://www.greatteaching.com/.</u>  | 1, 2, 3, 4, 5,<br>6, 11             |
| Professional development<br>for teaching staff focused on<br>the application of the sci-<br>ence of learning to improve<br>student learning.   | Teaching metacognitive strategies to students can be an<br>inexpensive method to help students become more inde-<br>pendent learners. There is particularly strong evidence<br>that it can have a positive impact on maths attainment:<br><u>Metacognition and self-regulation   Toolkit Strand   Edu-<br/>cation Endowment Foundation   EEF</u><br><u>EEF effective professional development- Guidance report.</u>  | 1, 2, 3, 4, 5,<br>6, 11             |
| Professional development<br>for teaching staff focused<br>on the effective use of<br>questioning and equally if<br>not more important stu-<br>dent elaboration.  | Metacognition and self-regulation   Toolkit Strand   Edu-<br>cation Endowment Foundation   EEF         https://www.greatteaching.com/         EEF effective professional development- Guidance report.         How Learning Happens - Seminal works in Educational Psy-<br>chology and what they Mean in Practice.         Shimamura's MARGE model of learning in action -<br>Nimish Lad         'At its core, elaboration is about adding knowledge to<br>existing knowledge, which we have identified as being key<br>to the learning process. It also allows learners to reactivate, reshape and re-<br>use their knowledge through the | 1, 2, 3, 4, 5,<br>6, 11             |



| All Different: All Equal: Together, Improving Upon Our Best |
|---|
|   |

|  | practice of putting it into their own words.'  |                         |
|--|--|-------------------------|
| Professional development<br>for staff with a focus on<br>effective assessment and<br>feedback.   | EEF toolkit - Guidance report -Teacher feedback to improve student learning, States.         "All teachers understand the importance of providing meaningful feedback. Done well it supports student progress, building learning, addressing misunderstandings and thereby closing the gap between where a student is and where the teacher wants them to be".         EEF effective professional development- Guidance report.  | 1, 2, 6, 11             |
| Professional development<br>for staff with a focus on ef-<br>fective assessment cycles<br>that include clear revision<br>guidance, support and ma-<br>terials.   | Developing effective study skills while not in the care and guidance of the Academy staff is vital to promote lifelong learning.         Metacognition and self-regulation   Toolkit Strand   Education Endowment Foundation   EEF         Make It Stick: The Science of Successful Learning, P. Brown I.  | 1, 2, 6, 11             |
|  | Make It Stick: The Science of Successful Learning. P. Brown, L<br>Roediger.<br>Rosineshines Principles in Action. T. Sherrington.  |                         |
| Professional develop-<br>ment for staff focused on<br>tracking progress and in-<br>tervention  | Understanding how to use the new data system is essen-<br>tial to support student progress. Time will be provided to<br>understand the full extent of the SISRA system to drive<br>student progress forward.<br><u>https://www.sisraanalytics.co.uk</u>  | 1, 2, 6                 |
| Active overstaffing in<br>Maths/Science/MFL/Eng-<br>lish/Art to provide addi-<br>tional support within les-<br>sons and to ensure when<br>cover is required subject<br>specialist can support.<br>Trainee teacher programme<br>that supports the Academy<br>to always be fully<br>staffed with specialist. | Ensuring high quality teachers are in front of students is<br>essential. The Academy has made the decision to employ<br>trainees and quality staff where and when appropriate<br>even when that could mean overstaffing.<br><u>The EEF Student Premium Guidance report</u> states that 'ensuring an<br>effective teacher is in front of every class, and that every teacher is sup-<br>ported to keep improving, is the key ingredient of a successful school<br>and should be a top priority. | 1, 2, 3, 4, 5,<br>6, 11 |



| Enhancement of our Maths<br>and English teaching and<br>curriculum planning in<br>line with DfE KS3 and EEF<br>guidance.<br>We will fund teacher re-<br>lease time to embed key el-<br>ements of the guidance in<br>school, and to access Maths<br>and English Hub resources<br>and CPD offers (including<br>Teaching for<br>Mastery training). | The DfE non-statutory KS3 guidance has been produced<br>in conjunction with the National Centre for Excellence in<br>the Teaching of Mathematics, drawing on evidence-based<br>approaches:<br><u>Teaching mathematics at key stage 3 - GOV. UK (www. gov.<br/>uk)</u><br>To teach maths well, teachers need to assess<br>students' prior knowledge and understanding effec-<br>tively, employ manipulatives and representations, teach<br>problem solving strategies, and help students to develop<br>more complex mental models: <u>KS2 KS3 Maths Guid-<br/>ance 2017. pdf (educationendowmentfoundation. org.<br/>uk)</u> | 1, 2, 4       |
|---|---|---------------|
| Improving literacy in all<br>subject areas in line with<br>recommendations in the<br>EEF <u>Improving Literacy in</u><br><u>Secondary Schools</u> guid-<br>ance.  | Acquiring disciplinary literacy is key for students as they<br>learn new, more complex concepts in each subject:<br><u>Improving Literacy in Secondary Schools</u>  | 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 |
| Vocabulary Acquisition<br>and Curriculum Reading<br>will look to place high<br>quality academic texts at<br>the centre curricular across<br>the school.   | Reading comprehension, vocabulary and other literacy<br>skills are heavily linked with attainment in maths and Eng-<br>lish:<br><u>word-gap. pdf (oup. com. cn)</u>   | 2, 3, 4       |
| Targeted Reading<br>Programme<br>SET Reading will place the<br>development of reading<br>skills at the centre of what<br>students are required to fo-<br>cus on when they are sent<br>out of lessons.   | <u>academic vocabulary and schema building</u> , which is com-<br>plemented by a better and broader blog by <u>Tom Sherrington</u><br><u>on schema building</u> .<br><u>curriculum development is a teacher development chal-</u><br><u>lenge</u> . For instance, teachers need to know about reading<br>challenges that mediate the curriculum, and so on.   | 3             |
| SHAPE and SLANT   | <ul> <li><u>SHAPE and SLANT CPD Presentation</u></li> <li>"The complete sentence is the battering ram that<br/>knocks down the door to college."</li> <li>Doug Lemov, Teach Like a Champion 2. 0</li> <li>Lemov argues that while teacher relationships are im-<br/>portant, they are not as important as the social climate we<br/>create in our classrooms. Our students feel so much more</li> </ul>   | 2, 3, 4       |



|                                   | validated, motivated and successful when they receive the       |                  |
|-----------------------------------|---|------------------|
|                                   | social cues that suggest their words are important, from        |                  |
|                                   | their peers.  |                  |
|                                   |   |                  |
|                                   | Friedman's and Laurison's research, 'The Class Ceiling:         |                  |
|                                   | Why it Pays to be Privileged' (2019), a student from a privi-   |                  |
|                                   | leged background with a 2:2 degree is still more likely to se-  |                  |
|                                   | cure an elite role  |                  |
|                                   | compared to a non-privileged student with a first- class de-    |                  |
|                                   | gree from the same university.                                  |                  |
|                                   | "While there still remains much to be said about the value      |                  |
|                                   | many industries put in one's interview performance, I do be-    |                  |
|                                   | lieve, at least at school level, we can go some way into help-  |                  |
|                                   | ing our students achieve that 'polish' and become confident     |                  |
|                                   | to be in their own skin and be able to articulate how brilliant |                  |
|                                   | they are, no matter their background. "                         |                  |
|                                   | How to break into the elite                                     |                  |
|                                   | The benefits of making students respond verbally in full sen-   |                  |
|                                   | <u>tences</u>   |                  |
|                                   | 'The Class Ceiling: Why it Pays to be Privileged' by            |                  |
|                                   | Sam Friedman and Dan Laurison                                   |                  |
|                                   | Our vision for confident and articulate students at FGCS        |                  |
|                                   | Some Videos To Go With My 'Podogogy' Interview Teach-           |                  |
|                                   | ing the art of listening in the age of me, me                   |                  |
|                                   | <u>'Quick wins' #16 – SLANT – Building habits in the</u>        |                  |
|                                   | <u>classroom.</u>   |                  |
| <b>.</b>                          | Special Educational Needs in Mainstream                         | 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 |
| Improving provision and           |   |                  |
| attainment for SEND lower         | https://d2tic4wvo1iusb. cloudfront. net/guidance- re-           |                  |
| attaining disadvantaged           | ports/send/EEF SEND Evidence Review.pdf                         |                  |
| students across the Acad-<br>emy. | Teaching Assistant Interventions                                |                  |
|                                   | https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/ed-                 |                  |
|                                   | <u>ucation-evidence/teaching-learning-</u> toolkit/teaching-    |                  |
|                                   | assistant-interventions   |                  |
|                                   |   |                  |

# Strand 2 Targeted Academic Support

## Budgeted cost: £55 500

| Activity   | Evidence that supports this approach  | Challenge<br>number(s)<br>addressed |
|--|---|-------------------------------------|
| Targeted<br>Reading<br>Pro-<br>gramme  | Improving Literacy in Secondary Schools"High quality teaching across the curriculum will<br>reduce the need for extra literacy support. Nevertheless, it is likely<br>that a small number of students will require additional support — in<br>the form of high quality, structured, targeted interventions — to make<br>progress. ""Students who start secondary school with low levels of literacy are a<br>group in particular need of support. In 2018, 25% of students began<br>secondary school without having reached the 'expected standard' in<br>their Year 6 SATs reading assessment. The trajectory of similar students<br>in previous cohorts is stark; in 2018, fewer than 1 in 5 of the students<br>who had not reached the expected level in reading at primary school<br>went on to achieve a 4 or above in GCSE English. Crucially however,<br>the consequences of their low literacy are highly likely to be felt across<br>the curriculum. " | 3                                   |
| Provide a tar-<br>geted tutoring<br>programme for<br>key disadvan-<br>taged students<br>in every year<br>group   | <ul> <li>EEF teacher toolkit "On average one to one tuition<br/>is very effective at improving student outcomes. One to one tuition<br/>might be an effective strategy for providing targeted support for<br/>students that are identified as having low prior attainment or are<br/>struggling in particular areas.</li> <li>Small group tuition - moderate impact for low cost on moderate evi-<br/>dence</li> </ul>  | 3                                   |
| Provide addi-<br>tional opportu-<br>nities during<br>holidays and<br>weekends for<br>students from<br>all year groups<br>to take part in<br>academic and<br>enrichment ac-<br>tivities | 3+ months additional progress made according to the EEF <u>https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/education-evi-dence/teaching-learning-toolkit/summer-schools</u>   | 1, 2, 3, 4, 7,<br>8, 10, 11         |

GLC Gateway Academy All Different: All Equal: Together, Improving Upon Our Best

# **Strand 3 Wider Strategies**



# Budgeted cost: £206 000

| Activity  | Evidence that supports this approach  | Challenge<br>number(s)<br>addressed |
|---|---|-------------------------------------|
| Adoption of a<br>cognitive behav-<br>ioural therapy   | There is evidence to suggest that CBT can have a high impact on risk behaviours and behavioural difficulties:   | 8                                   |
| (CBT) interven-<br>tion for specific<br>students who re-<br>quire support<br>with regulating<br>their behaviour   | <u>Cognitive Behavioural Therapy - Youth Endowment Fund</u>   |                                     |
| and emotions.<br>Also built<br>throughout the<br>curriculum.  | EIF's report on adolescent mental health found good evidence<br>that CBT interventions support young people's social and emo-<br>tional skills and can reduce symptoms of anxiety and depression:   |                                     |
| This includes<br>training for<br>school staff,<br>collaboration<br>with the Men-<br>tal health<br>school teams<br>and the school<br>wellbeing ser-<br>vice  | Adolescent mental health: A systematic review on the effectiveness<br>of school-based interventions<br>  Early Intervention Foundation (eif. org. uk)   |                                     |
| Students' wellbe-<br>ing and engage-<br>ment remain<br>high and aca-<br>demic progress is<br>at least good as a<br>result of wider<br>support strate-<br>gies.<br>Providing sup-<br>port for student<br>mental health<br>through out-<br>reachwork. | Research from Mind suggests that returning to school post pandemic has had a significant mental health impact on children.         Group/ individual support and treatment. Surveys post pandemic would agree.         https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/better-mental-         health-jsna-toolkit/5-children-and-young-people         suggest risk factors and ACES which would support student Wellbeing.         https://www.unmc.edu/bhecn/_documents/ace- handout-ne-specific.pdf - link ACEs to         engagement and PON. | 8                                   |
| Embedding   | The DfE guidance has been informed by engagement with schools   | 8, 9                                |



| principles of                                 | that have significantly reduced persistent absence levels.   |          |
|---|--|----------|
| good practice set                             | https://www.gov.uk/government/publica-   |          |
| out in DfE's Im-                              | tions/school-  |          |
| proving School                                |  |          |
| Attendance ad-                                |  |          |
| vice.   |  |          |
| Attendance                                    | attendance/framework-for-securing-full- attendance-ac-   | 8        |
| team will tar-                                | tions-for-schools-and-local- authorities   |          |
| get key stu-<br>dents, creating<br>individual | <u>https://www.centreforsocialjustice.org.uk/wp-content/up-loads/2021/06/Cant_Catch_Up_FULL-REPORT.pdf</u> |          |
| plans to im-                                  |  |          |
| prove attend-                                 |  |          |
| ance and at-                                  |  |          |
| tainment.                                     |  |          |
| Increase the                                  | EEF suggests +4 months   | 8, 9, 10 |
| opportunities                                 | https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/education-evi-   |          |
| for parents to                                | dence/teaching-learning-toolkit/parental-engagement  |          |
| engage with                                   |  |          |
| the academy                                   |  |          |
| through a vari-                               |  |          |
| ety of face to                                |  |          |
| face events in-                               |  |          |
| cluding a spe-                                |  |          |
| cific partner-                                |  |          |
| ship with par-                                |  |          |
| ents and carers                               |  |          |
| event for every                               |  |          |
| year group                                    |  |          |

**Total budgeted cost: £** 523,515



#### Part B: Review of outcomes in the previous academic year

#### Pupil premium strategy outcomes

This details the impact that our pupil premium activity had on pupils in the 2021 to 2022 academic year.

For the academic year 2021/2022 exam result data indicates that the performance of our disadvantaged students was improved on previous years with just a 0.04 progress gap and 5.32 attainment gap.

Our teaching and learning overall was deemed good with real strengths in some areas of the school. The current plan will embed the strengths identified in to all areas and any improvements have been addressed in this strategy plan.

At the start of the year, our disadvantaged students were less motivated when returning to our Academy and needed a lot of additional support to meet the expectations expected within a school environment. We used pupil premium money last year to provide wellbeing support predominately for our disadvantaged students. We are further building on this approach this academic year.

We used pupil premium funding to provide support for targeted students, which is heavily weighted towards support for our disadvantaged cohort. We are building upon this approach in our current plan.

Attendance in 2021/2022 for disadvantaged students was strong and well above the national average. We are looking to build on this in the current plan.